

Kuring-gai Council bushland prioritisation matrix rating methodology

Rating criteria and their weightings

| | |
|--------------|-----|
| Significance | 30% |
| Resilience | 50% |
| Threats | 20% |

Summary of ratings

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| 2 nd priority for Levy and recurrent funds and external grant funding, priority for works to address external threats (e.g. WSUD projects) | ← | High Value – High Threat | Low Value – High Threat | → | No funding |
| 1 st priority for Levy and recurrent funds and external grant funding | ← | High Value – Low Threat | Low Value – Low Threat | → | No funding |

Rating assessment

| MATRIX CRITERIA | ASSESSMENT | SCORE |
|--|--|-------|
| SIGNIFICANCE | | |
| <p>LEVEL OF FEDERAL SIGNIFICANCE:</p> <p>Relates to the categorisation of the vegetation community and relevant legislation protecting it.</p> <p>*Note-no vulnerable ecological communities are currently listed within the LGA but may change in the future?</p> | CEEC (Federally listed Critically Endangered Ecological Community) | 4 |
| | EEC (Federally listed Endangered Ecological Community) | 2 |
| | Not a recognised federally listed vegetation community. | 0 |
| <p>LEVEL OF STATE SIGNIFICANCE:</p> <p>Relates to the categorisation of the vegetation community and relevant legislation protecting it.</p> <p>*Note-no vulnerable ecological communities are currently listed within the LGA but may change in the future</p> | CEEC (State listed Critically Endangered Ecological Community) | 10 |
| | EEC (State listed Endangered Ecological Community) | 5 |
| | Not a recognised state listed vegetation community | 0 |
| <p>PRESENCE OF INDIVIDUALLY THREATENED SPECIES:</p> <p>Relates to the presence of, or potential for the location to support, individually threatened species of flora or fauna (under both Federal and State legislation and includes species listed as species presumed extinct, critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable or as endangered populations)</p> | Threatened species recorded currently at location | 10 |
| | Threatened species previously recorded at location or location contains habitat or food source for migratory threatened species | 7 |
| | Regeneration work will improve potential for return of threatened species to location | 3 |
| | No threatened species recorded and little potential to create future habitat for threatened species | 0 |
| <p>PRESENCE OF ROTAP, LOCALLY SIGNIFICANT FAUNA AND FLORA SPECIES AND/OR FAUNA POULATIONS AND VEGETATION COMMUNITIES:</p> <p>Relates to the presence of, or potential for the location to support, individually significant species of flora, fauna or vegetation type.</p> | Presence of a high faunal diversity and existence of ROTAP/Regionally Significant/ Locally Uncommon species recorded currently at location, previously recorded at location or significant vegetation (i.e. uncommon / poorly represented) community present and site has high potential to contain species within the seed bank | 6 |
| | Presence of an individual or low to moderate level of faunal diversity and existence of ROTAP/Regionally Significant/ Locally Uncommon species recorded currently at | 3 |

| | | |
|---|--|-----------|
| | location, previously recorded at location or significant vegetation (i.e. uncommon / poorly represented) community present and site has high potential to contain species within the seed bank | |
| | No ROTAP/Regionally Significant/ Locally Uncommon species recorded | 0 |
| TOTAL WEIGHTING | | 30 |
| RESILIENCE ▲ | | |
| Relates to the overall resilience of the location | Excellent resilience | 50 |
| | Very good resilience | 40 |
| | Good resilience | 30 |
| | Fair resilience | 20 |
| | Poor resilience | 10 |
| | No resilience | 0 |
| TOTAL WEIGHTING | | 50 |
| THREATS ▲ | | |
| Relates to site impacts occurring on site | Low level of site impacts | 20 |
| | Low-medium level of site impacts | 15 |
| | Medium level of site impacts | 10 |
| | Medium-high level of site impacts | 5 |
| | High level of site impacts | 0 |
| TOTAL WEIGHTING | | 20 |

▲ A summary of the criteria used to determine the resilience ranking is included as Appendix 1.


▲ A summary of the criteria used to determine the threats ranking is included as Appendix 2.

APPENDIX 1

Methodology for the assessment of bushland site resilience

Criteria used to assess resilience

An assessment of each of the criteria below within each reserve facilitates the resilience ranking for each reserve (0, 12.5, 25, 37.5 or 50).

| | Excellent resilience | | | No resilience |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------|
| Criteria | 50 |  | | 0 |
| "Natural" disturbance types | Match historical disturbance regimes. | Moderate alteration from historical disturbance regimes | Altered from historical disturbance regimes. | |
| New disturbances | Compatible with the ecology of all/most of the original species (rarely the case, e.g. hand weeding). | Incompatible with the ecology of 40 – 60% of the original species present (e.g. major soil disturbance, changed fire regime, weeds, feral animals, changed soil moisture). | Incompatible with the ecology of many/most of the original species present (e.g. major soil disturbance, changed fire regime, weeds, feral animals, changed soil moisture). | |
| Position in landscape [S8,S9] | High in catchment. | Mid catchment – some impact from upper catchment present. | Low in catchment. Heavily impacted from upper catchment. | |
| Size of Reserve | Large. | Medium | Small. | |
| Shape of Reserve | Circular (low edge to area ratio). | Patchy – Some core area undisturbed by edge effect. | Long and thin (high edge to area ratio). Most area influenced by edge effect. | |
| Proximity to / Connectivity with other areas of bushland | Close / well connected. All structural layers connected on all sides | Close / well connected. Most structural layers connected some sides | Isolated / not well connected. | |
| Native fauna populations | All / most fauna species still present in similar numbers. | 40 – 60% of fauna species still present | Many / most fauna species no longer present and/or reduced in number. | |

Guidelines to assist in the assessment of resilience

Evidence of resilience – natural regeneration

| | |
|---|--|
| Seedlings | <p>Relevant to species which regenerate via seed. Consider what proportion of species is germinating, compared with the full range of species which might be present in an intact patch of the same ecological community. Consider whether the seedlings represent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only a sub-set, coming up from the canopy & soil seedbanks (in situ resilience)? A few species continue to / are favoured by new disturbance regimes, while most may be being inhibited. • Species coming from off-site (migratory resilience)? |
| Seedlings of native species which need more or less original soils to germinate | <p>Differs from above point in that the presence of these species indicates an original soil is present, hence a seedbank (containing propagules of more species) may also be present. Recognising such species takes some experience.</p> |
| Stolons spreading across the ground | <p>Relevant to stoloniferous species.</p> |
| New shoots from underground parts | <p>Relevant to species which regenerate via resprouting from underground parts (rhizomes, bulbs, tubers, corms, lignotubers), eg. <i>Lepidosperma spp.</i>, <i>Hypolaena fastigiata</i>.</p> |
| New shoots from above ground stems | <p>This is called epicormic growth. New shoots often come out from buds under bark after fire in e.g. Eucalypts.</p> |

NB: Natural regeneration after soil disturbance may be slow. It may be appropriate to wait 2 or more years after a disturbance (e.g. weed clearing, fire) before determining site resilience in order to make an informed decision regarding the sites management requirements such as applying revegetation techniques, e.g. planting.

Signs of resilience

Signs of potential resilience – including if no native vegetation is present:

- Original landform
- Original soil profile
- Rock outcrops
- Steep slopes

| | |
|--|---|
| Flowering | must occur for seeds (i.e. next generation) to be produced. BUT pollination must also happen. This may not occur if the relevant pollinator is absent. |
| Fruiting | means pollination has occurred BUT little or no seed may be produced. |
| Seed set | means seed is being produced, BUT the seed must also be viable. This may not be the case for very small populations of certain species. |
| Species spreading slowly by rhizome (rarely germinating from seed) | e.g. many Restionaceae and Cyperaceae. Their presence (assuming they haven't been planted) indicates that the soil level around the plant is likely to be original, hence the soil seedbank may still be present. If the soil had been highly or frequently disturbed, these species are likely to have been lost. |
| Species which don't disperse their seed very far | e.g. many Proteaceae species. The plants are present because they were originally here (assuming they haven't been planted), hence the soil seedbank may still be present. BEWARE: More and more local native species have been grown and planted in / near bushland – distinguishing between remnant and planted is becoming increasingly difficult. |
| Vegetation structure | If the different vegetation layers of the bushland resemble what might be seen in a pristine patch of the same ecological community, then this indicates that natural processes have continued. Layers may include: canopy trees, mid-storey shrubs, groundcover herbs and grasses. Consider the density of, and number of species in, each layer. |
| Species diversity | If the richness (No. of species) and abundance (No. of individuals of each species) is more or less what might be seen in a pristine patch of the same ecological community, then this indicates natural regeneration is / has still been occurring. |
| Age diversity | If the individuals of each native species present have a range of ages, this indicates natural regeneration is / has still been occurring. |
| Remnant canopy | The soil level, at least around the base of the canopy plant, is likely to be original, hence the soil seedbank may still be present. |

APPENDIX 2

Methodology for the assessment of threats to bushland

Each site has been assessed for internal and external threats, or site impacts. Addressing the symptoms of sites with a high level of threats is less economic than maintaining the integrity of areas with low level threats. Areas with *high value* and *high threat* should be identified for works that address external issues such as Council’s Water Sensitive Urban Design projects (which have a separate funding stream).

An assessment of each of the identified threats below within each reserve facilitates the threat ranking for each reserve (20,15, 10, 5, 0).

| THREATS / SITE IMPACTS | | Low | Medium | High |
|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 20 ←————→ 0 | | |
| External threats | Catchment /stormwater impacts | primarily conservation | mixed: open space / urban | highly urbanised / intensive land use |
| | External water management | not needed | managed | unmanaged |
| | Neighbouring land use | conservation | park / low density urban | high density urban / industrial |
| | Encroachment / dumping | none | minimal impact | serious issue |
| | Informal tracks | none | Few existing and stabilised | Many, new tracks being created |
| Internal threats | Reserve management priorities | conservation | conservation and recreation | Recreation / other |
| | Existing easements | none | Covering a small area of the reserve | Covering a significant area of the reserve |
| | Incompatible land use (e.g. active recreation, dogs, horse riding) | none | minimum impact can be resolved | ongoing use causing management problems |
| | Weed seriousness | low impact species | invasive | noxious |
| | Weed cover | <10% | 10- 50% | >60% |
| | Predator Index | Evidence of 0 - 1 introduced species | Evidence of moderate - 2 to 3 introduced species | Evidence of all introduced predators (cats, dogs, foxes, introduced rats, rabbits) |